Worcester Polytechnic Institute DigitalCommons@WPI

Great Problems Seminar Posters

Great Problems Seminar

2008

Fuel Cells in Public Transportation

Austin Noto-Moniz Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Bill Gustavson Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Natasha Peake Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Kari Rehkugler Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/gps-posters

Recommended Citation

Noto-Moniz, Austin; Gustavson, Bill; Peake, Natasha; and Rehkugler, Kari, "Fuel Cells in Public Transportation" (2008). *Great Problems Seminar Posters*. 189.

http://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/gps-posters/189

This Text is brought to you for free and open access by the Great Problems Seminar at DigitalCommons@WPI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Great Problems Seminar Posters by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@WPI. For more information, please contact akgold@wpi.edu.



Fuel Cells in Public Transportation

Austin Noto-Moniz, Bill Gustavson, Natasha Peake, Kari Rehkugler Advisor: Professor Brian Savilonis (Mechanical Engineering)





Abstract

Oil is a non-renewable fuel source that can support world usage for less than 50 years. This project proposes the implementation of fuel cells in public transportation in order to supplement a significant portion of fossil fuel use. This will also allow the public to become accustomed to fuel cell technology. Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) fuel cells are currently capable of replacing internal combustion engines in vehicles; the cost and lack of support infrastructure are currently the biggest barriers to their use.

Fuel Cell Facts

- •Fuel cells have been around since the 1800s.
- •While many believe fuel cell technology to be a future goal, the technology actually exists and is in perfect, tested working order right
- •Currently, there are five major types of fuel cell: Phosphoric Acid FC, Alkaline FC, Solid Oxide FC, Molten Carbonate FC, and PEMFC.
- •PEM fuel cells are the best for portable applications because, in comparison to other types of fuel cells, they are small, lightweight, and operate at only 35°C.
- •The amount of oil consumed daily in the US for transportation is 14 million barrels, which is 70% of the total oil usage per day.
- •Today, PEM fuel cells output between 50 and 250 kW of power.
- •The projected cost to import oil over the next 20 years is roughly \$3 trillion; the estimated cost to build a hydrogen infrastructure is \$100
- •PEMFC power output is projected to be nearly 30 mpg better than gas fueled engines.
- •Fuel cell buses are not new; there have been several instances of successful use on a small, public scale.
- •Since fuel cells are still expensive, the cost of a fuel cell bus is approx. 3 billion dollars, which is about 10 times more than a diesel bus.






Project Goals/Objectives

- ·Raise public awareness of the effectiveness of fuel cells
- ·Explore the use of fuel cells as a supplement to oil
- Compile and examine the current policies and technologies, and, based upon these,
- ·Create a current and reasonable proposal for fuel cell use in public transportation systems

Trends in CO2 Emissions from Oil Combustion

United States, 1949 - 2004



Hydrogen Generation

Typical hydrogen production methods include:

- •Hydrolysis using external power from the grid or other sources
- •Reformation from methane, gasoline, or other hydrogen carrier fuels (external and internal)
- •Direct use of carrier fuels

There is, however, a method using NaBH₄ and a cobalt-boron catalyst that is extremely effective. By coming in contact with the catalyst, the stabilized NaBH₄ solution begins to produce hydrogen, a reaction that can be stopped by simply removing the catalyst, which is not consumed. $NaBH_4(aq)+2H_2O$ catalyst $\longrightarrow 4H_2+NaBO_2(aq)+heat(217kJ)$ Producing hydrogen using this method right at the fueling stations will eliminate the need to compress or transport the hydrogen gas after it is produced, and the by-product is completely recyclable.



Conclusions

Current fuel cell technology is more than adequate for use in public transportation. Use of the hydrogen production method described above solves many fuel transport, safety, and cost issues. The costs and lack of infrastructure are significant problems, but overall the benefits of using them would be more than worthwhile. Implementing this technology in public transportation will put it on display and be an enormous step in the right direction.

References

•Jeong, S.U. et al. "A study of hydrogen generation from NaBH4 solution using the high-performance Co-B catalyst." Journal of Power Sources 144(2005): 129-134

•"Petroleum Basics Statistics." Energy Information Administration. Sept. 2008. 30 Nov. 2008

http://www.eia.doe.gov/basics/quickoil.html

Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States 2006. Rep.No. 0573. Office of Integrated Analysis and Forcasting, Energy Information Administration. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Energy, 2007. 19-19.

•"Fuel Cell Origins." Fuel Cells. Aug. 2008. Smithsonian National Museum of American History. 30 Nov. 2008 http://americanhistory.si.edu/fuelcells/origins/origins.htm>.

 "PEM Fuel Cells." Fuel Cells. Aug. 2008. Smithsonian National Museum of American History. 30 Nov. 2008. http://americanhistory.si.edu/fuelcells/pem/pemmain.htm

Images

Oil Supply Profiles 1996-2030: Ultimate Conventional Oil Reserves of 3000 Billion Barrels

http://www.iea.org/textbase/nppdf/free/1990/weo1998.pdf
•CO2 emissions: http://www.pewclimate.org/docUploads/im

•PEM fuel cell: http://www.l.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/fuelcells/fc_types.html
-fuel.cell bus: http://www.iscoorp.com/gallery/albums/BC-Transit-Fuel-Cell
-Bus/BCTransit_fuel_cell_bus.sized.jpg