

2-10-2003

An Extension of the Ruzsa-Szemerédi Theorem

Gábor N. Sárközy

Worcester Polytechnic Institute, gsarkozy@cs.wpi.edu

Stanley Selkow

Worcester Polytechnic Institute, sms@cs.wpi.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/computerscience-pubs>



Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#)

Suggested Citation

Sárközy, Gábor N. , Selkow, Stanley (2003). An Extension of the Ruzsa-Szemerédi Theorem. .

Retrieved from: <https://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/computerscience-pubs/125>

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Computer Science at Digital WPI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Computer Science Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital WPI. For more information, please contact digitalwpi@wpi.edu.

An extension of the Ruzsa-Szemerédi Theorem

Gábor N. Sárközy, Stanley Selkow

Computer Science Department
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Worcester, MA 01609
gsarkozy@cs.wpi.edu, sms@cs.wpi.edu

February 10, 2003

Abstract

We let $G^{(r)}(n, m)$ denote the set of r -uniform hypergraphs with n vertices and m edges, and $f^{(r)}(n, p, s)$ is the smallest m such that every member of $G^{(r)}(n, m)$ contains a member of $G^{(r)}(p, s)$. In this paper we are interested in fixed values r, p and s for which $f^{(r)}(n, p, s)$ grows quadratically with n . A probabilistic construction of Brown, Erdős and T. Sós ([2]) implies that $f^{(r)}(n, s(r-2) + 2, s) = \Omega(n^2)$. Erdős, Frankl and Rödl ([4], [6]) conjectured that this is best possible in the sense that $f^{(r)}(n, s(r-2) + 3, s) = o(n^2)$. This was first proved for $r = s = 3$ by Ruzsa and Szemerédi [11]. Then Erdős, Frankl and Rödl [6] extended this result to any r : $f^{(r)}(n, 3(r-2) + 3, 3) = o(n^2)$. In this paper by giving an extension of this Erdős, Frankl, Rödl Theorem (and thus the Ruzsa-Szemerédi Theorem) we show that indeed the Brown, Erdős, T. Sós Theorem is not far from being best possible. Our main result is

$$f^{(r)}(n, s(r-2) + 2 + \lfloor \log s \rfloor, s) = o(n^2).$$

1 Introduction

1.1 Notation and definitions

For basic graph concepts see the monograph of Bollobás [1]. $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex-set and the edge-set of the graph G . (A, B) or (A, B, E) denote a bipartite graph $G = (V, E)$, where $V = A \cup B$, and $E \subset A \times B$. In general, given any graph G and two disjoint subsets A, B of $V(G)$, the pair (A, B) is the graph restricted to $A \times B$. $N(v)$ is the set of neighbors of $v \in V$. Hence the size of $N(v)$ is $|N(v)| = \deg(v) = \deg_G(v)$, the degree of v . For a vertex $v \in V$ and set $U \subset V - \{v\}$, we write $\deg(v, U)$ for the number of edges from v to U . We denote by $e(A, B)$ the number of edges of G with one endpoint

in A and the other in B . For non-empty A and B ,

$$d(A, B) = \frac{e(A, B)}{|A||B|}$$

is the **density** of the graph between A and B .

Definition 1. *The pair (A, B) is ε -regular if*

$$X \subset A, Y \subset B, |X| > \varepsilon|A|, |Y| > \varepsilon|B|$$

imply

$$|d(X, Y) - d(A, B)| < \varepsilon,$$

otherwise it is ε -irregular.

A hypergraph \mathcal{F} is called k -uniform if $|F| = k$ for every edge $F \in \mathcal{F}$. A k -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{F} on the set X is k -partite if there exists a partition $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$ with $|F \cap X_i| = 1$ for every edge $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. In this paper $\log n$ denotes the base 2 logarithm.

1.2 Turán-type hypergraph problems

We let $G^{(r)}(n, m)$ denote the set of r -uniform hypergraphs with n vertices and m edges, and $f^{(r)}(n, p, s)$ is the smallest m such that every member of $G^{(r)}(n, m)$ contains a member of $G^{(r)}(p, s)$. The determination of $f^{(r)}(n, p, s)$ has been a longstanding open problem. Special cases of this problem appeared in [3], [5]. For more about Turán-type hypergraph results consult the surveys by Füredi [8] and Sidorenko [12]. In this paper we are interested in fixed values r, p and s for which $f^{(r)}(n, p, s)$ grows quadratically with n .

A probabilistic construction of Brown, Erdős and T. Sós [2] implies that

$$f^{(r)}(n, s(r-2) + 2, s) = \Theta(n^2).$$

Erdős, Frankl and Rödl ([4], [6]) conjectured that this is best possible in the following sense:

Conjecture 1.

$$f^{(r)}(n, s(r-2) + 3, s) = o(n^2).$$

This was first proved for $r = s = 3$ by Ruzsa and Szemerédi [11]. Erdős, Frankl and Rödl [6] extended this result to any r :

$$f^{(r)}(n, 3(r-2) + 3, 3) = o(n^2).$$

In this paper by giving an extension of this Erdős, Frankl, Rödl Theorem (and thus the Ruzsa-Szemerédi Theorem) we show that indeed the Brown, Erdős, T. Sós Theorem is not far from being best possible.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *For all integers $r, s \geq 3$ we have*

$$f^{(r)}(n, s(r-2) + 2 + \lfloor \log s \rfloor, s) = o(n^2).$$

In particular for $s = 3$ we get the Erdős, Frankl, Rödl Theorem (and thus the Ruzsa-Szemerédi Theorem) as a special case.

Thus roughly speaking the Brown, Erdős, T. Sós Theorem is best possible apart from a $\lfloor \log s \rfloor$ term. However, it still remains open whether one can eliminate this term and prove Conjecture 1.

In the next section we provide the tools including the Regularity Lemma. Then in Section 3 we apply the Regularity Lemma to obtain our main lemma. Finally in Section 4 we prove the theorem.

2 Tools

In the proof the Regularity Lemma of Szemerédi ([13]) plays a central role. Here we will use the following variation of the lemma.

Lemma 1 (Regularity Lemma – Degree form). *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $M = M(\varepsilon)$ such that if $G = (V, E)$ is any graph and $d \in [0, 1]$ is any real number, then there is a partition of the vertex-set V into $l + 1$ sets (so-called clusters) C_0, C_1, \dots, C_l , and there is a subgraph $G' = (V, E')$ with the following properties:*

- $l \leq M$,
- $|C_0| \leq \varepsilon|V|$,
- all clusters $C_i, i \geq 1$, are of the same size,
- $\deg_{G'}(v) > \deg_G(v) - (d + \varepsilon)|V|$ for all $v \in V$,
- $G'|_{C_i} = \emptyset$ (C_i are independent in G'),
- all pairs $G'|_{C_i \times C_j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq l$, are ε -regular, each with a density 0 or exceeding d .

This form (see [10]) can easily be obtained by applying the original Regularity Lemma (with a smaller value of ε), adding to the exceptional set C_0 all clusters incident to many irregular pairs, and then deleting all edges between any other clusters where the edges either do not form a regular pair or they do but with a density at most d .

We will also use a simple but useful result of Erdős and Kleitman ([7], see also on page 1300 in [9]).

Lemma 2. *Every k -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{F} contains a k -partite k -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} with*

$$\frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{|\mathcal{F}|} \geq \frac{k!}{k^k}.$$

3 Applying the Regularity Lemma

We will prove the following lemma by applying the Regularity Lemma.

Lemma 3. *For every $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 \geq 1$ there are positive constants η, n_0 with the following properties. Let G be a graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $|E(G)| \geq c_1 n^2$ that is the edge disjoint union of matchings M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m where $m \leq c_2 n$. Then there exist an $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $A, B \subset V(M_i)$ such that*

- $(A \times B) \cap M_i = \emptyset$,
- $|A| = |B| \geq \eta n$,
- $|E(G|_{A \times B})| \geq \frac{c_1}{4} |A| |B|$.

Proof: Let us apply the degree form of the Regularity Lemma (Lemma 1) with

$$d = \frac{c_1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = \frac{c_1}{6c_2}. \quad (1)$$

Let $G'' = G' \setminus C_0$. Then we have

$$\deg_{G''}(v) > \deg_G(v) - (d + \varepsilon)n - |C_0| \geq \deg_G(v) - (d + 2\varepsilon)n \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G'').$$

Thus using (1)

$$\begin{aligned} |E(G'')| &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G'')} \deg_{G''}(v) > \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G'')} \deg_G(v) - \frac{d + 2\varepsilon}{2} n^2 = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg_G(v) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in C_0} \deg_G(v) - \frac{d + 2\varepsilon}{2} n^2 \geq |E(G)| - \frac{d + 3\varepsilon}{2} n^2 \geq \frac{c_1}{2} n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there is an $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that

$$|M_i|_{G''} > \frac{c_1}{2c_2} n = 3\varepsilon n. \quad (2)$$

Write $U = V(M_i|_{G''})$ for the vertex set of $M_i|_{G''}$. (2) implies that $|U| > 6\varepsilon n$. Write also $U_i = U \cap C_i$. Define $I = \{i \mid |U_i| > 3\varepsilon |C_i|\}$, and set $U' = \cup_{i \in I} U_i$ and $U'' = U \setminus U'$. Clearly $|U''| \leq 3\varepsilon n$. Since $|U| > 6\varepsilon n$, we have two vertices $u, v \in U'$ adjacent in $M_i|_{G''}$. Let $u \in C_i$ and $v \in C_j$. In G'' we have at least one edge between C_i and C_j , and hence we must have a density more than $d = \frac{c_1}{2}$ between them. Consider U_i and U_j . A is an arbitrary subset of U_i with $|A| = \lfloor \varepsilon |C_i| \rfloor + 1 > \varepsilon |C_i|$. B is an arbitrary subset of U_j with $|B| = \lfloor \varepsilon |C_j| \rfloor + 1 > \varepsilon |C_j|$ and $(A \times B) \cap M_i = \emptyset$. This is possible since

$$|U_j| > 3\varepsilon |C_j| > 2 \lfloor \varepsilon |C_j| \rfloor + 2,$$

if $n \geq n_0$. Then the first property of A, B in the lemma is clearly satisfied. For the second property we can choose $\eta = \frac{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}{M(\varepsilon)}$. Finally for the third property, ε -regularity of the pair (C_i, C_j) implies that the density between A and B is more than $d - \varepsilon \geq \frac{c_1}{4}$. This means

$$|E(G|_{A \times B})| \geq \frac{c_1}{4} |A| |B|,$$

and thus completing the proof of the lemma. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $r, s \geq 3$, $p = s(r - 2) + 2 + \lfloor \log s \rfloor$ and $l = \lceil \log s \rceil$.

Assume indirectly that there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$f^{(r)}(n, p, s) > \lceil cn^2 \rceil. \quad (3)$$

From this assumption we will get a contradiction. (3) means that there exists an r -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{F} with

$$f^{(r)}(n, p, s) - 1 \geq \lceil cn^2 \rceil \geq cn^2$$

edges that does not contain a member of $G^{(r)}(p, s)$, i.e. a set of p vertices spanning at least s edges. Let us assume that n is sufficiently large.

Using the Erdős-Kleitman theorem (Lemma 2) we find an r -partite sub-hypergraph \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{F} with at least

$$\frac{r!c}{r^r}n^2$$

edges. Let X_1, \dots, X_r be the vertex classes of this r -partite hypergraph \mathcal{H} . Consider the 3-uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H}^* which is defined by the removal of X_1, \dots, X_{r-3} from the vertex set of \mathcal{H} and from all edges of \mathcal{H} . If a 3-edge (triple) of \mathcal{H}^* has multiplicity greater than 1, then we keep only one edge. Note that every triple has multiplicity less than s . Indeed, otherwise taking a triple with multiplicity at least s and s r -edges of \mathcal{H} containing this triple, we get a set of at most

$$s(r - 3) + 3 \leq s(r - 2) + 2 + \lfloor \log s \rfloor = p$$

vertices that span at least s r -edges, a contradiction. Then if in \mathcal{H}^* we keep only one edge from each multiple triple we still have at least

$$\frac{r!c}{r^r s}n^2$$

edges.

Consider first an arbitrary $v \in X_{r-2}$ and the bipartite graph G_b^v defined by v between X_{r-1} and X_r such that (u, w) is an edge in G_b^v if and only if (u, v, w) is a triple in \mathcal{H}^* . The maximum degree in G_b^v is at most s . Indeed, otherwise taking s edges from a vertex u , the vertex v and the s r -edges of \mathcal{H} containing these triples, we get again a set of at most

$$s(r - 2) + 2 \leq s(r - 2) + 2 + \lfloor \log s \rfloor = p$$

vertices that span at least s r -edges, a contradiction. Then we can choose a matching M_v in G_b^v such that

$$|M_v| \geq \frac{|E(G_b^v)|}{s}.$$

We take the next $v' \in X_{r-2}$, and similarly as above we define $G_b^{v'}$ and $M_{v'}$, but now from $M_{v'}$ we remove all the edges that are already in M_v . We continue in this fashion for all

the vertices in X_{r-2} . Define the bipartite graph $G_b = \cup_{v \in X_{r-2}} M_v$. Since every edge of G_b is an edge in at most s of the graphs G_b^v , we have

$$|E(G_b)| \geq \frac{r!c}{r^r s^3} n^2.$$

Next by applying Lemma 3 iteratively in G_b , we will find a sequence of matchings M_{v_1}, \dots, M_{v_l} . To obtain M_{v_1} we apply Lemma 3 in G_b . We can choose

$$c_1 = c_1^1 = \frac{r!c}{r^r s^3} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = c_2^1 = 1.$$

M_{v_1} is the M_i guaranteed in the lemma. Denote $M_{v_1} = (A_1, B_1)$ where $A_1 \subset X_{r-1}, B_1 \subset X_r$. Lemma 3 also guarantees that there are $A'_1, B'_1 \subset V(M_{v_1})$ such that

- $(A'_1 \times B'_1) \cap M_{v_1} = \emptyset$,
- $|A'_1| = |B'_1| \geq \eta_1 n$,
- $|E(G_b|_{A'_1 \times B'_1})| \geq \frac{c_1}{4} |A'_1| |B'_1|$.

To obtain M_{v_2} we apply Lemma 3 again, now for $G_b|_{A'_1 \times B'_1}$. Here we can choose

$$c_1 = c_1^2 = \frac{c_1^1}{16} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = c_2^2 = \frac{c_2^1}{2\eta_1}.$$

M_{v_2} is the M_i guaranteed in the lemma. Note that technically this M_{v_2} is not the whole M_{v_2} in G_b , but it is restricted to $G_b|_{A'_1 \times B'_1}$. Denote $M_{v_2} = (A_2, B_2)$ where $A_2 \subset X_{r-1}, B_2 \subset X_r$.

We continue in this fashion. Assume that $M_{v_j} = (A_j, B_j)$ is already defined where $A_j \subset X_{r-1}, B_j \subset X_r$. Futhermore, we have $A'_j, B'_j \subset V(M_{v_j})$ such that

- $(A'_j \times B'_j) \cap M_{v_j} = \emptyset$,
- $|A'_j| = |B'_j| \geq \eta_j (|A'_{j-1}| + |B'_{j-1}|)$,
- $|E(G_b|_{A'_j \times B'_j})| \geq \frac{c_1^j}{4} |A'_j| |B'_j|$.

To obtain $M_{v_{j+1}}$ we apply Lemma 3 for $G_b|_{A'_j \times B'_j}$. We can choose

$$c_1 = c_1^{j+1} = \frac{c_1^j}{16} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = c_2^{j+1} = \frac{c_2^j}{2\eta_j}.$$

$M_{v_{j+1}}$ is the M_i guaranteed in the lemma. Denote $M_{v_{j+1}} = (A_{j+1}, B_{j+1})$. We continue until M_{v_1}, \dots, M_{v_l} are selected.

Next using these matchings M_{v_j} we will select a set of p vertices spanning at least s r -edges of \mathcal{H} , a contradiction.

Lemma 4. For any $1 \leq i \leq l = \lceil \log s \rceil$, let G_i be the graph obtained from bipartite graph $(X_{r-1}, X_r, \cup_{j=1}^i M_{v_j})$ by removing all components which do not contain a vertex of $A_i \cup B_i$. The vertices of G_i are partitioned into $|M_{v_i}|$ trees, each with $2^i - 1$ edges.

Proof: We use induction on i . For $i = 1$, G_1 is just M_{v_1} , and each tree of G_1 has one edge. We assume the lemma to hold for $i - 1$. Each endpoint of each edge $e \in M_{v_i}$ is in $A_{i-1} \cup B_{i-1}$ and thus by the inductive hypothesis belongs to exactly one tree of G_{i-1} , and each of these trees has $2^{i-1} - 1$ edges. Edge e , along with the two trees it joins, comprise a new tree with $2^i - 1$ edges. \square

Lemma 5. There exist $\lceil \log s \rceil + s + 2$ vertices in \mathcal{H}^* which span at least s 3-edges.

Proof: In case there exists an integer k such that $s = 2^k - 1$, then the $\lceil \log s \rceil + 1$ vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_{\lceil \log s \rceil}\}$ and the $s + 1$ vertices of any tree in $G_{\lceil \log s \rceil}$ span at least s 3-edges of \mathcal{H}^* . Otherwise, we select any two trees τ_1 and τ_2 of $G_{\lceil \log s \rceil}$ assured by Lemma 4. We remove leaves of τ_1 or τ_2 until a total of s edges (and $s + 2$ vertices) are left. Then the $\lceil \log s \rceil$ vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_{\lceil \log s \rceil}\}$ and the $s + 2$ vertices of τ_1 and τ_2 span at least s 3-edges of \mathcal{H}^* . \square

For each of the s 3-edges in \mathcal{H}^* assured by Lemma 5, we add the $r - 3$ other vertices of an edge in the original hypergraph \mathcal{H} which contains it. So the $s(r - 2) + 2 + \lceil \log s \rceil = p$ vertices span at least s edges, a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press, London (1978).
- [2] W.G. Brown, P. Erdős, V.T. Sós, Some extremal problems on r -graphs, in *New directions in the theory of graphs, Proc. 3rd Ann Arbor Conference on Graph Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1973, 55-63.
- [3] W.G. Brown, P. Erdős, V.T. Sós, On the existence of triangulated spheres in 3-graphs and related problems, *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, 3 (1973), 221-228.
- [4] P. Erdős, Problems and results on graphs and hypergraphs: similarities and differences, in *Mathematics of Ramsey* (J. Nešetřil, V. Rödl, eds.), Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1990, 12-28.
- [5] P. Erdős, Extremal problems in graph theory, in *Theory of graphs and its applications* (M. Fiedler, ed.) Academic Press, New York, 1964, 29-36.
- [6] P. Erdős, P. Frankl and V. Rödl, The asymptotic number of graphs not containing a fixed subgraph and a problem for hypergraphs having no exponent, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 2 (1986), 113-121.

- [7] P. Erdős, D.J. Kleitman, On coloring graphs to maximize the proportion of multi-colored k -edges, *J. of Combinatorial Theory*, 5 (1968), 164-169.
- [8] Z. Füredi, Turán-type problems, *Surveys in Combinatorics*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser., A.D. Keedwell, Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press (1991), 253-300.
- [9] R.L. Graham, M. Grötschel, L. Lovász, *Handbook of Combinatorics*, Elsevier Science B.V., 1995.
- [10] J. Komlós and M. Simonovits, Szemerédi's Regularity Lemma and its applications in graph theory, in *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty* (D. Miklós, V.T. Sós, and T. Szőnyi, Eds.), pp. 295-352, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies, Vol. 2, Budapest, 1996.
- [11] I.Z. Ruzsa, E. Szemerédi, Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles, in *Combinatorics (Keszthely, 1976)*, *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 18, Volume II*. 939-945.
- [12] A.F. Sidorenko, What we do know and what we do not know about Turán numbers, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 11 (1995), 179-199.
- [13] E. Szemerédi, Regular partitions of graphs, *Colloques Internationaux C.N.R.S. N° 260 - Problèmes Combinatoires et Théorie des Graphes*, Orsay (1976), 399-401.