

2-11-2003

# On a Turán-type hypergraph problem of Brown, Erdos and T. Sós

Gábor N. Sárközy

*Worcester Polytechnic Institute*, [gsarkozy@cs.wpi.edu](mailto:gsarkozy@cs.wpi.edu)

Stanley Selkow

*Worcester Polytechnic Institute*, [sms@cs.wpi.edu](mailto:sms@cs.wpi.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/computerscience-pubs>



Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#)

---

## Suggested Citation

Sárközy, Gábor N. , Selkow, Stanley (2003). On a Turán-type hypergraph problem of Brown, Erdos and T. Sós. .

Retrieved from: <https://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/computerscience-pubs/123>

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Computer Science at Digital WPI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Computer Science Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital WPI. For more information, please contact [digitalwpi@wpi.edu](mailto:digitalwpi@wpi.edu).

# On a Turán-type hypergraph problem of Brown, Erdős and T. Sós

Gábor N. Sárközy, Stanley Selkow

Computer Science Department  
Worcester Polytechnic Institute  
Worcester, MA 01609  
gsarkozy@cs.wpi.edu, sms@cs.wpi.edu

February 11, 2003

Note

## Abstract

We let  $G^{(r)}(n, m)$  denote the set of  $r$ -uniform hypergraphs with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges, and  $f^{(r)}(n, p, s)$  is the smallest  $m$  such that every member of  $G^{(r)}(n, m)$  contains a member of  $G^{(r)}(p, s)$ . In this paper we are interested in the growth of  $f^{(r)}(n, p, s)$  for fixed values  $r, p$  and  $s$ . Brown, Erdős and T. Sós ([2]) proved that for  $r > k \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 3$  we have  $f^{(r)}(n, s(r - k) + k, s) = \Theta(n^k)$ . This suggests the difficult question whether  $f^{(r)}(n, s(r - k) + k + 1, s) = o(n^k)$ . This was first established for  $r = s = 3$  and  $k = 2$  by Ruzsa and Szemerédi ([11]). Then for  $s = 3$  and  $k = 2$  Erdős, Frankl and Rödl ([6]) extended this result for any  $r$ , and they conjectured that it also holds for  $k = 2$  and any  $s$ . In this note we show that

$$f^{(r)}(n, s(r - k) + k + \lfloor \log_2 s \rfloor, s) = o(n^k) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 2.$$

In addition we show that

$$f^{(r)}(n, 4(r - 3) + 4, s) = o(n^3).$$

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Notation and definitions

For basic graph and hypergraph concepts see the monograph of Bollobás [1].

A hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$  is called *r-uniform* if  $|F| = r$  for every edge  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . An  $r$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$  on the set  $X$  is *r-partite* if there exists a partition  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_r$  with  $|F \cap X_i| = 1$  for every edge  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .  $|\mathcal{F}|$  denotes the number of edges of  $\mathcal{F}$ . In this paper  $\log n$  denotes the base 2 logarithm.

## 1.2 Turán-type hypergraph problems

We let  $G^{(r)}(n, m)$  denote the set of  $r$ -uniform hypergraphs with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges, and  $f^{(r)}(n, p, s)$  is the smallest  $m$  such that every member of  $G^{(r)}(n, m)$  contains a member of  $G^{(r)}(p, s)$ . The determination of  $f^{(r)}(n, p, s)$  has been a longstanding open problem. Special cases of this problem appeared in [3], [5]. For more about Turán-type hypergraph results consult the surveys by Füredi [9] and Sidorenko [13]. In this note we are interested in the growth of  $f^{(r)}(n, p, s)$  for fixed values  $r, p$  and  $s$ .

Brown, Erdős and T. Sós ([2]) proved that for  $r > k \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 3$  we have

$$f^{(r)}(n, s(r - k) + k, s) = \Theta(n^k).$$

This suggests the following difficult question.

**Conjecture 1.**

$$f^{(r)}(n, s(r - k) + k + 1, s) = o(n^k).$$

This was first established for  $r = s = 3$  and  $k = 2$  by the celebrated result of Ruzsa and Szemerédi ([11]). Then for  $s = 3$  and  $k = 2$  Erdős, Frankl and Rödl ([6]) extended this result for any  $r$ , and they conjectured that it also holds for  $k = 2$  and any  $s$ . In this direction in [12] we showed that

$$f^{(r)}(n, s(r - 2) + 2 + \lfloor \log s \rfloor, s) = o(n^2).$$

In this note we extend this result for  $k > 2$ , showing that Conjecture 1 is not far from being true.

**Theorem 1.** *For all integers  $r > k \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 3$ ,*

$$f^{(r)}(n, s(r - k) + k + \lfloor \log s \rfloor, s) = o(n^k).$$

Thus roughly speaking Conjecture 1 is true apart from a  $\lfloor \log s \rfloor$  term. However, it still remains open whether one can replace this term with 1 and prove Conjecture 1.

In addition, by using a recent, deep result of Frankl and Rödl ([8]) we show that Conjecture 1 is true for  $k = 3$  and  $s = 4$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For all integers  $r \geq 4$ ,*

$$f^{(r)}(n, 4(r - 3) + 4, 4) = o(n^3).$$

In the next section we provide the tools, then we prove the theorems.

## 2 Tools

We will use a simple but useful result of Erdős and Kleitman ([7], see also on page 1300 in [10]).

**Lemma 1.** *Every  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a  $k$ -partite  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  with*

$$\frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{|\mathcal{F}|} \geq \frac{k!}{k^k}.$$

We will also need a recent result of Frankl and Rödl. Following their notation from [8], let  $A_i = \{a_i, b_i\}$  be pairwise disjoint 2-element sets for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Define  $F_i = \{a_1, \dots, a_k, b_i\} \setminus \{a_i\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}(k) = \{F_1, \dots, F_k\}$ . Let  $ex^*(n, \mathcal{F}(k))$  denote  $\max |\mathcal{H}|$  such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $k$ -partite hypergraph on  $n$  vertices that is  $\mathcal{F}(k)$ -free, and  $|H \cap H'| \leq k - 2$  holds for all distinct  $H, H' \in \mathcal{H}$ . In [8] the following deep result is shown.

**Lemma 2.**

$$ex^*(n, \mathcal{F}(4)) = o(n^3).$$

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $r > k \geq 2$ ,  $s \geq 3$ ,  $p = s(r - k) + k + \lfloor \log s \rfloor$  and  $l = \lceil \log s \rceil$ . For  $k = 2$  we showed that the theorem is true in [12]; thus we may assume  $k > 2$ .

Assume indirectly that there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$f^{(r)}(n, p, s) > \lceil cn^k \rceil. \quad (1)$$

From this assumption we will get a contradiction. (1) means that there exists an  $r$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$  with

$$f^{(r)}(n, p, s) - 1 \geq \lceil cn^k \rceil \geq cn^k$$

edges that does not contain a member of  $G^{(r)}(p, s)$ , i.e. a set of  $p$  vertices spanning at least  $s$  edges. Let us assume that  $n$  is sufficiently large.

Using the Erdős-Kleitman theorem (Lemma 1) we find an  $r$ -partite subhypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  with at least

$$\frac{r!c}{r^r} n^k$$

edges. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  be the vertex classes of this  $r$ -partite hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider the  $(k + 1)$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}^*$  which is defined by the removal of  $X_1, \dots, X_{r-k-1}$  from the vertex set of  $\mathcal{H}$  and from all edges of  $\mathcal{H}$ . If a  $(k + 1)$ -edge of  $\mathcal{H}^*$  has multiplicity greater than 1, then we keep only one edge. Note that every  $(k + 1)$ -edge has multiplicity less than  $s$ . Indeed, otherwise taking a  $(k + 1)$ -edge with multiplicity at least  $s$  and  $s$   $r$ -edges of  $\mathcal{H}$  containing this edge, we get a set of at most

$$s(r - k - 1) + k + 1 \leq s(r - k) + k + \lfloor \log s \rfloor = p$$

vertices that span at least  $s$   $r$ -edges, a contradiction. Then if in  $\mathcal{H}^*$  we keep only one edge from each multiple  $(k+1)$ -edge we still have at least

$$\frac{r!c}{r^r s} n^k$$

edges.

Define for every  $x_1 \in X_{r-k}, x_2 \in X_{r-k+1}, \dots, x_{k-2} \in X_{r-3}$  the following hypergraph:

$$\mathcal{H}^*(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}) = \{G \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}\} \mid \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}\} \subset G \in \mathcal{H}^*\}.$$

There are  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}$  for which we have

$$|\mathcal{H}^*(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2})| \geq \frac{r!c}{r^r s} n^2.$$

By Theorem 1 for  $k = 2$  ([12]), we have a  $G^{(3)}(s+2 + \lfloor \log s \rfloor, s)$  in this 3-uniform  $\mathcal{H}^*(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2})$ . Then in the original  $\mathcal{H}$  we have a set of at most

$$s(r - (k+1)) + (k-2) + s + 2 + \lfloor \log s \rfloor = s(r-k) + k + \lfloor \log s \rfloor = p$$

vertices spanning at least  $s$   $r$ -edges, a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $r \geq 4$  and  $p = 4(r-3) + 4$ .

Proceeding similarly as above, assume indirectly that there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$f^{(r)}(n, p, 4) > \lceil cn^3 \rceil. \quad (2)$$

From this assumption we will get a contradiction. (2) means that there exists an  $r$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{F}$  with

$$f^{(r)}(n, p, 4) - 1 \geq \lceil cn^3 \rceil \geq cn^3$$

edges that does not contain a member of  $G^{(r)}(p, 4)$ , i.e. a set of  $p$  vertices spanning at least 4 edges. Let us assume that  $n$  is sufficiently large.

Similarly as above, first by using Lemma 1 we find an  $r$ -partite subhypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  with at least

$$\frac{r!c}{r^r} n^3$$

edges and with partite sets  $X_1, \dots, X_r$ . Then we reduce  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\{X_{r-3}, X_{r-2}, X_{r-1}, X_r\}$  to get  $\mathcal{H}^*$  with at least

$$\frac{r!c}{r^r s} n^3$$

4-edges.

Now consider an arbitrary 4-edge  $H \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , and  $H_1 \subset H$  with  $|H_1| = 3$ . There can be at most 3  $H' \in \mathcal{H}^*$  edges with  $H \cap H' = H_1$ , since otherwise we get a set of at most

$$4(r-4) + 7 = 4(r-3) + 3 < p$$

vertices spanning at least 4  $r$ -edges, a contradiction.

Since we can choose  $H_1$  in 4 different ways, altogether there can be at most 12  $H' \in \mathcal{H}^*$  edges with  $|H \cap H'| = 3$ . We remove all these at most 12  $H'$  edges from  $\mathcal{H}^*$ . In the remaining hypergraph again we consider an arbitrary 4-edge  $H$  and we remove all other edges  $H'$  for which  $|H \cap H'| = 3$ . We continue in this fashion until we have no two 4-edges  $H$  and  $H'$  with  $|H \cap H'| = 3$ . Denote the resulting hypergraph by  $\mathcal{H}^{**}$ , then

$$|\mathcal{H}^{**}| \geq \frac{r!c}{13r^r s} n^3. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore,  $\mathcal{H}^{**}$  is  $\mathcal{F}(4)$ -free, since otherwise we get a set of at most

$$4(r-4) + 8 = 4(r-3) + 4 = p$$

vertices spanning at least 4  $r$ -edges, a contradiction.

However, then (3) is in contradiction with Lemma 2.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

## References

- [1] B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press, London (1978).
- [2] W.G. Brown, P. Erdős, V.T. Sós, Some extremal problems on  $r$ -graphs, in *New directions in the theory of graphs, Proc. 3rd Ann Arbor Conference on Graph Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1973, 55-63.
- [3] W.G. Brown, P. Erdős, V.T. Sós, On the existence of triangulated spheres in 3-graphs and related problems, *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, 3 (1973), 221-228.
- [4] P. Erdős, Problems and results on graphs and hypergraphs: similarities and differences, in *Mathematics of Ramsey* (J. Nešetřil, V. Rödl, eds.), Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1990, 12-28.
- [5] P. Erdős, Extremal problems in graph theory, in *Theory of graphs and its applications* (M. Fiedler, ed.) Academic Press, New York, 1964, 29-36.
- [6] P. Erdős, P. Frankl and V. Rödl, The asymptotic number of graphs not containing a fixed subgraph and a problem for hypergraphs having no exponent, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 2 (1986), 113-121.
- [7] P. Erdős, D.J. Kleitman, On coloring graphs to maximize the proportion of multi-colored  $k$ -edges, *J. of Combinatorial Theory*, 5 (1968), 164-169.

- [8] P. Frankl, V. Rödl, Extremal problems on set systems, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 20 (2002), 131-164.
- [9] Z. Füredi, Turán-type problems, *Surveys in Combinatorics*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser., A.D. Keedwell, Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press (1991), 253-300.
- [10] R.L. Graham, M. Grötschel, L. Lovász, *Handbook of Combinatorics*, Elsevier Science B.V., 1995.
- [11] I.Z. Ruzsa, E. Szemerédi, Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles, in *Combinatorics (Keszthely, 1976)*, *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 18, Volume II*. 939-945.
- [12] G.N. Sárközy, S.M. Selkow, An extension of the Ruzsa-Szemerédi Theorem, submitted for publication, WPI Technical Report WPICS-CS-TR-03-02, <http://www.cs.wpi.edu/Resources/techreports/>
- [13] A.F. Sidorenko, What we do know and what we do not know about Turán numbers, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 11 (1995), 179-199.