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Abstract

It is difficult to develop drugs against fungal infections due to the fact that both fungi and their hosts are eukaryotic. Using a model host-pathogen system, factors involved in the infection can be identified and studied. By using these two genetic model organisms and this assay in high-throughput screens of genetic knockouts, fungal virulence factors can be identified. We are developing a liquid culture assay for studying the effects of co-culturing Caenorhabditis elegans with Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants. We tested several different conditions including different liquid media, E.coli to Yeast mass ratios, and C. elegans mutant strains. From the results of all the experiments, we concluded that there are other factors that can cause the death of the C. elegans in liquid assay which are not S. cerevisiae related.
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Introduction

In this project, we are developing a liquid culture assay for studying the effects of co-culturing Caenorhabditis elegans with Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants. Saccharomyces cerevisiae is a unicellular fungus, commonly known as baker’s yeast (Daum, 2000). This host-pathogen model system will allow us to do a high-throughput screen of the entire yeast genome. We expect to find the fungal virulence factors involved in fungal infection.

Fungal Infections

Fungi are prevalent in the environment, many of which are pathogens that can infect plants and animals, including humans. For example, fungi are the most common cause of plant disease (Prescott et al., 2005). Fungi such as Sclerotinia sclerotiorum can cause infection of many crops (Huang et al., 2000). About fifty fungal species have been found to cause disease in humans (Prescott et al., 2005), among which Candida albicans is the most common human fungal pathogen (Heitman and Howlett, 2008). Statistics have also shown that more than half of AIDS patients have infections caused by pulmonary Pneumocystis carinii (Yang and Kerdel, 2006) and the occurrence of invasive fungal infections in organ transplant patients continues to be high with a high mortality rate (Gabardi et al., 2007). The rise of fungal diseases has many scientists working hard on development of novel antifungal drugs. However, the task has not been easy due to the fact that fungal pathogens are also eukaryotic with cellular machinery very similar to that of their hosts. This makes drug target identification difficult thereby slowing the process of drug development (Heitman, 2005).

Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Saccharomyces cerevisiae, a widely used eukaryotic genetic model, was chosen as the pathogen in this project. S. cerevisiae was used instead of a human pathogen, such as Candida albicans, because S. cerevisiae is the best studied eukaryotic cell (Prescott et al., 2005), with its genome fully sequenced and its biology well understood (Forsburg,
Compared with *S. cerevisiae*, the genomic sequence determination of human fungal pathogen genomes is proceeding very slowly, one reason being that the genome of *S. cerevisiae* is very similar to that of human fungal pathogens, so it is unnecessary to find the genome of the human fungal pathogens (Goffeau *et al.*, 1996). *S. cerevisiae* has 16 chromosomes and a small genome size of about 12 Mbp. About 6000 genes are predicted to be in the genome, and the function of 80% of the predicted genes has been characterized (Miller-Fleming, 2008). Major pathways such as cell cycle, regulation and signal transduction first identified in *S. cerevisiae* are also conserved in higher eukaryotes (Cooper and Hausman, 2007). This has made yeast a very good genetic model for studying higher eukaryotes with more complex genomes. Besides all these advantages, yeast is a safe, fast, and facile organism to culture in the laboratory that makes it an attractive model system. Its fast reproduction cycle accelerates experiment completion (Angier, 1986). Another advantage of using *S. cerevisiae* is that it provides us with an available deletion library of more than 5000 genes that can be used in the screening process of the project.

*S. cerevisiae* has a budding mode of growth. It is normally a diploid with a long G1 phase and overlapped S and M phases. When the cells have enough nutrients, they
will proceed with the cell cycle. When there is a lack of nutrients, the cells will either go into a quiescent state or begin sporulation to become haploid. Haploid cells can go through conjugation to become diploid again (Pringle, 1997).

**Caenorhabditis elegans**

*C. elegan*, are free-living in soil and are about 1mm in length. In the lab, they can be maintained on agar plates or liquid cultures. They eat bacteria in the soil and are fed with *E. coli* in the laboratory (Introduction to *C. elegans* Anatomy, 2006).

There are two sexes, hermaphrodite and male. The hermaphrodite is the most abundant sex and males compose only about 0.1% of the time (Introduction to *C. elegans* Anatomy, 2006).

![Figure 2: Anatomy of a C. elegans adult hermaphrodite](image)

The main shape is an unsegmented, cylindrical body that is tapered at the ends (shown in Figure 1). In the body wall, there is a cuticle which surrounds the worm. Within the wall there are four different systems; epithelial, nervous, muscle and excretory. The internal organs are incorporated into two systems; alimentary and reproductive. The alimentary includes the pharynx, intestine, rectum and anus. The Reproductive System consists of the somatic gonad, the germ line and the egg-laying apparatus (Introduction to *C. elegans* Anatomy, 2006).
Figure 3: Life cycle of *C. elegans*

Figure 3 shows the life cycle of a *C. elegans* hermaphrodite. This entire cycle takes only three days at 20 degrees C. The stages of the life cycle are the embryonic stage, larvae (L1-L4) stages, and adult (Introduction to *C. elegans* Anatomy, 2006).

The embryonic stage can be separated into two parts; proliferation and organogenesis/morphogenesis. During the four postembryonic larval stages, the development of the nervous system and the reproductive system occurs. If the egg hatches and there is no food available, the larva stays at the L1 stage. The adult is the fully developed reproductive form. (Introduction to *C. elegans* Anatomy, 2006).

The dauer larva is formed under conditions of food limitation, starvation, or a high temperature. This stage is non-aging if the environment stays unfavorable for growth. When the dauer larva is exposed to food or a more favorable environment, then the worm exits the dauer stage and develops to the L4 and then into an adult, (Introduction to *C. elegans* Anatomy, 2006).
Model Host

*C. elegans* has been used to provide important insights into how animals perceived threats in the environment and defend themselves against infection. This nematode has been very useful in many different aspects in biology such as developmental biology, neurobiology, and ageing (Gravato-Nobre and Hodgkin, 2005).

*C. elegans* is an ideal model host for many reasons. From the practical standpoint the advantages are low cost, easy maintenance, minimal lab space, and suitability for automated animal sorting (Gravato-Nobre and Hodgkin, 2005).

They also have a small genome size. This has helped scientists to determine the complete DNA sequence of the genome. Self-fertilization is an important aspect of *C. elegans* for genetic analysis. Another aspect that is important for studying development is that their body is transparent allowing observation of all cells and cell divisions from egg to adult. This also makes it easier to observe the infection process (Gravato-Nobre and Hodgkin, 2005).

Either in the soil or in the laboratory, *C. elegans* eats bacteria, which constitutes a convenient route for infection by microbes, as well as the application of RNA interface-based gene silencing (Gravato-Nobre and Hodgkin, 2005).

*C. elegans* is a very important model in studying innate immunity. There are at least four different pathways that are involved in the innate immunity; DBL-1, DAF-2/DAF-16, p38 MAP kinase, and ERK. There is a common theme with all the pathways, in that the signaling pathways involved in innate defenses involve protein cassettes that play essential roles in other, seemingly unrelated developmental or physiological processes (Ewbank, 2006).

Previous Projects in the Politz and Prusty Rao laboratories

Previous studies have identified *S. cervisiae* mutants that are virulent as well as worm mutants that show altered susceptibility to yeast infection. It was found that *S. cerevisiae* could infect *C. elegans* and induce the Dar phenotype. *C. elegans* produces reactive oxygen species (ROS) which are produced by the product of the bli-3 gene, a
NADPH-dependent oxidase required for normal cuticle formation. Preliminary evidence suggests that production of ROS by BLI-3 may protect *C. elegans* against yeast pathogenesis (Charu Jain and R. Prusty Rao, unpublished). *S. cerevisiae* may then use gene products of YAP 1 and SOD 1 to neutralize the effect of ROS. YAP 1 is a transcription factor in *S. cerevisiae* that is critical for oxidative-stress response (Maeta *et al.*, 2004). *SOD1* encodes the protein superoxide dismutase, which can neutralize ROS by converting superoxide radicals to hydrogen peroxide and molecular oxygen (Cox *et al.*, 2002).

These results suggest that a high-throughput assay would allow us to conduct an unbiased whole genome screen for novel fungal virulence factors as well as host immunity modulators. However, experiments on agar plates are labor intensive and time consuming. In order to screen the deletion libraries of *S. cerevisiae*, a more efficient assay is needed. We decided to try to develop a liquid culture assay in microtiter plates.
Methodology

Stock Plate Maintenance

The stocks of *C. elegans* strains were kept on 60 mm agar plates of NGM medium with an *E. coli* OP50 lawn at 16°C. Three hermaphrodites were transferred every 5 to 7 days to fresh plates. The plates were transferred to 20°C four days before egg preparations were done (Kelly and O’Brien, 2007).

Mutant *C. elegans*

*Fer-1* mutant *C. elegans* is temperature-sensitive fertilization defective. At 20°C, *fer-1* hermaphrodites are infertile. The *fer-1* gene product is required for Ca²⁺-mediated membrane fusion during *C. elegans* spermiogenesis. *Fer-1* mutation alters the sensitivity to Ca²⁺, which affects the membranous organelle fusion and results in abnormal sperm (Washington and Ward, 2006).

*C. elegans* Egg Preparation

Egg preparations were used to start developmentally synchronous liquid cultures in microtiter wells. Use of egg preparations also helped avoid contamination due to the use of bleach treatment to lyse worms, which sterilized the egg preparation. To make sure the worms were exposed to yeast are at the same stage of development, eggs were harvested as follows.

The egg preparation stock plates were prepared three to five days earlier to obtain the maximum number of eggs on plates. Plates were not used if they did not contain enough eggs or if the plates had starved. These plates were washed with M9 buffer to remove eggs and worms. Then the liquid was transferred to a 15mL conical tube. It was centrifuged into a pellet for two minutes at 900xg. The supernant was removed using a Pasteur pipette. Twelve milliliters of bleach solution, which consisted of 0.25M NaOH dissolved in a 1:4 dilution of commercial bleach in dH₂O, was added to the conical tube to lyse the worms. The eggs were more resistant to the bleach solution, so they stayed intact. The tube was rocked for three minutes and centrifuged for another two minutes at
900xg. The supernatant was removed quickly, and then sterile M9 was added to wash the eggs free of traces of bleach. Between washes, samples were centrifuged for two minutes at 900xg and the supernatants were removed.

After the second wash, the pellet was resuspended in 5 mL of M9 buffer. Three 10µL drops were added to an unused agar plate to determine the average egg count for a given volume. A volume containing about fifteen to twenty eggs was then transferred into the microtiter wells and incubated at 20°C to mature.

**Preparation of Liquid Assay**

A single colony from a streaked plate of *E. coli* OP50 was added to 5 mL of LB and incubated in 37°C for three days. A single colony from a streaked plate of *S. cerevisiae*, such as RPY101 strain, was added to 5 mL of YPD and incubated at 30°C for three days.

After the three days, the tubes were removed from the incubators and the absorbance at 600 nm was taken. For *S. cerevisiae*, YPD was used as a blank and for *E. coli*, LB was used as a blank. The dilution for the absorbance was 1:10. It is important to take into account that *S. cerevisiae* yeast cells are very large compared to *E. coli* cells.

To determine the number of cells per milliliter for *S. cerevisiae* and *E. coli*, the absorbance that was determined was converted to cells/mL using the factor $8 \times 10^8$ cells/OD unit for *E. coli* and $2 \times 10^7$ cells/OD unit for *S. cerevisiae*, also taking into account the dilution factor, which was 10.

The mass ratio was determined by dividing the calculated value of *S. cerevisiae’s* cells/mL by the calculated value of *E. coli’s* cells/mL, then multiply the result by 10 since one *S. cerevisiae* cell weigh 10 times more than an *E.coli* cell.

The total volume of liquid in each well was 750 µL. The volume of S. media added equals to the total volume minus the volume of *S. cerevisiae* and *E. coli* together. To each well there was an addition of 1 µL streptomycin 50 mg/mL to stop the growth of *E. coli* and bacterial contaminants. Components were added to each sample in the amounts indicated in Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Added Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>50 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast</td>
<td>15 μL - 150 μL (depending on absorbance and concentration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. medium</td>
<td>750 μL – (yeast + <em>E. coli</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>1 μL of 50 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>5-10 μL (depending on the egg count)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Volume</td>
<td>750 μL + egg volume + Strep volume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1: Assay Set-Up*

**Assay Procedure**

The microtiter plates were incubated at 20°C for ten to twelve days, during which observations and data were recorded daily. The total number of live and dead worms was recorded. Worms, that were not moving or that had larvae hatched inside of bodies, were considered to be dead.

**Data Analysis using Sigma Stat**

A computer program called Sigma Stat was used to plot survival percentage as a function of time. The statistics that were used is called LogRank. This compares the survival distributions of two samples. It constructed by comparing the observed and expected number of events in one of the groups at each observed event time and then adding these to obtain an overall summary across all time points where there is an event.

Data were arranged in three columns; group, time and status. The group was each condition to be compared. Time was the number of days since plating of eggs. Status was alive or dead. For each day, each death was recorded in a separate line in the spreadsheet. Each worm had to be entered in as an individual. For every worm that had died that day there would be a one for the status and the number of rows for that day would coincide with the number of worms that died that day. For each row there would be the group name, the day, and a one for the status. If no worms died within a 24 hour period then there would be a group name, the day, and for the status it would be left blank.
To plot the graph and calculate significance between groups, the log-rank test was used. Groups to be compared pairwise were chosen, and because worms could not escape the microtiter wells, no data were censored (the set-up is also shown in Appendix 2).
Results
All the Figures in this section are survival curves plotted using Sigma Stat.

*C.elegans arrested at L1 stage when S. cerevisiae served as the only food*

In wells that *C.elegans* were fed with 100% *S. cerevisiae*, the eggs hatched but were all arrested at L1 stage in development (data not shown). This is consistent with results from previous projects on agar plates.

**S. cerevisiae Mutants**

Wild type *S. cerevisiae* (Y101), *S. cerevisiae SOD1* mutant and *Sigma* strain (Y196) were used in this experiment. *Sod1Δ* is a superoxide dismutase deletion mutant. *Sigma* (Y196) is a wild strain that is more virulent than Y101. The mass ratio of all *S. cerevisiae* to *E.coli* was 1:30. NGM was used in all the wells.

![Figure 4: Survival curve of C. elegans with S. cerevisiae mutants and E.coli in NGM](image)

The Y axis is the percentage of live *C.elegans* each day. The X axis is the time of experiment in days. The *C. elegans* were counted every day for 8 days. The mass ratio of *S. cerevisiae: E.coli* was 1:30.
Results of this experiment are shown in Figure 4. *C. elegans* grown on *Y101* and *SOD1* were significantly different (*P*<0.001). *Sigma* and *Sod 1Δ* were significantly different from each other (*P*<0.001). *Sigma* was not significantly different from the *Y101* control (*P*=0.141). However, *C elegans* grown on *Sigma*, which were expected to die faster than *Sod 1Δ*, actually had a higher survival percentage by the end of the experiment. This was probably due to difficulty encountered in counting. *S. cerevisiae* became very dense in the center of wells during experiments, which made the field under the microscope unclear for counting for all the conditions.
**Varying Mass Ratios**

The mass ratios used for the first experiment were 1:30, 1:60, 1:120, and 1:24 *S. cerevisiae: E. coli*. After this first experiment the media was changed because the *S. cerevisiae* was very thick due to the use of rich medium NGM. *S. medium* was used subsequently because it contains no carbon or nitrogen source for *S. cerevisiae* to grow and the counting would be more accurate.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 5: Survival curve of C. elegans with different mass ratio of S. cerevisiae to E. coli in S. medium**

Y1E30 is a 1:30 mass ratio of *S. cerevisiae* to *E. coli*. The same notation was used for the other ratios of 1:60, 1:120, and 1:240. The Y axis is the percentage of live *C. elegans* each day. The X axis is the time of experiment in days. The *C. elegans* were counted every day for 8 days.

Results of an experiment to test the effects of different mass ratios of *S. cerevisiae* and *E. coli* are shown in Figure 5. With a better view of the plate, 1:60, 1:120 and 1:240 mass ratios of *S. cerevisiae* to *E. coli* were significantly different from 1:30 (*P = <0.001*), using pair wise comparisons. However, the majority of dead *C. elegans* had larva hatched
within their bodies. Whether the *C. elegans* died from *S. cerevisiae* infection or from the hatching larva was unclear.
For the next experiment (Figure 6), *C. elegans* were fed on the third day of the experiment with the same amount of *S. cerevisiae* used to start the experiment. Control wells with *E.coli* only were fed with same amount *E.coli*.

**Figure 6: Survival curves of N2 C.elegans at different mass ratios of S. cerevisiae to E. coli with re-feeding**

Y1E30 is the mass ratio of *S. cerevisiae* to *E.coli*, 1:30. The same notation is used for 1:60 mass ratios. The Y axis is the percentage of live *C.elegans* on each day. The X axis is the time of experiment in days.
C. elegans in re-feeding wells did live longer than the non-feeding ones in the E. coli only condition ($P = 0.038$). But in 1:30 and 1:60 mass ratios, there was no significant differences between re-feeding and non-feeding conditions (for 1:30, $P = 0.176$; for 1:60, $P=0.134$). However, dead C. elegans with larva hatched within bodies were still observed during the experiment.

**C. elegans Mutants**

The C. elegans mutants that were used were mek-1(ks54) and fer-1(b232). Mek-1 encodes a MAP kinase that is required for resistance to killing by S. cerevisiae in agar plate cultures (Meijiang Yun, unpublished results).
Figure 7: Survival curves of N2 and mek-1 C. elegans at different mass ratios of S. cerevisiae to E. coli with re-feeding

The Y axis is the percentage of live C. elegans on each day. The X axis is the time of experiment. The number of worms was counted each day for 11 days.

The experiment was done with three different conditions: an amount of equivalent to that mixed with yeast in other samples, a mass ratio of S. cerevisiae to E. coli of 1:30, and a mass ratio of S. cerevisiae to E. coli of 1:60. Results are shown in Figure 7.
died significantly faster than $N2 \ (P = <0.001)$ in both $E.coli$ control and 1:60 mass ratio conditions. The $P$ value of 1:30 mass ratio was 0.958, from which we concluded that the 1:30 survival curve is not great enough to exclude the possibility that $mek-1$ lived longer than the $N2$ strand is due to random sampling variability. Both $mek-1$ and $N2$ had many dead $C.elegans$ with larva hatched inside the bodies.

$Fer-1$ is a $C. elegans$ mutant that is temperature-sensitive fertilization defective. At 20 °C, $fer-1(b232)$ hermaphrodites are infertile.
Figure 8: Survival curves of N2 and fer-1 C. elegans at different mass ratios of S. cerevisiae to E. coli at 20°C with re-feeding

The Y axis is the percentage of live C. elegans on each day. The X axis is the time of experiment in days. The C. elegans were counted everyday for 12 days.

The experiment was done with three different conditions: same amount of E. coli, a mass ratio of S. cerevisiae to E. coli of 1:30, and a mass ratio of S. cerevisiae to E. coli of 1:60. Y1E30 notation means a S. cerevisiae to E. coli mass ratio of 1:30. The same
notation is used for mass ratio of 1:60. *Fer-1* lived significantly longer than *N2* in the experiment (All curves have a P value of <0.001). About 80% of the *fer-1 C.elegans* lived till the 13\textsuperscript{th} day of the experiment.
Discussion

Using a liquid culture assay would be ideal for identifying fungal virulent factors because it would make the high-throughput screening of the deletion library more efficient. However, the assay has not been fully developed.

When using different mass ratios of *S. cerevisiae* to *E. coli, C. elegans* did not die significantly faster at a lower concentration of *S. cerevisiae* compared to a higher concentration of *S. cerevisiae*. Death could be accounted for fertilized eggs hatching inside the body. While viewing this, there was uncertainty of whether the *C. elegans* died because of *S. cerevisiae* or because of starvation. When the environment is not ideal, *C. elegans* does not lay eggs (Schafer, 2005).

When observing the results obtained with *N2* and *mek-1, mek-1* died faster compared to *N2*. There were other factors that influenced the dying of the *C. elegans* such as larva hatching inside of the body. This mutation in the MAP kinase cascade in the immune system may still be useful for high throughput assays because it is weaker and more susceptible to infection.

To eliminate death due to fertilized eggs hatching within the worm’s body, we used *fer-1*, a temperature-sensitive fertilization-defective mutant. At the restrictive, 20°C, *fer-1*(b232) sperm are inactivated and eggs consequently are not fertilized. This would prevent the effects of *S. cerevisiae* infection from being obscured and allow the real effects of yeast to be observed. The *fer-1 C. elegans* stayed alive throughout the experiment; only about 20% of the *fer-1 C. elegans* died within 12 days while more than 70% of the *N2* died. The only reason that 100% of the *N2* did not die is that the experiment was terminated at 12 days. This experiment allowed us to conclude that wild type *C.elegans* was not dying due to *S. cerevisiae* but due to the fertilized eggs hatching within the body.
Further Experiment

From the results of experiments using different *C.elegans* mutants, a fer-1; mek-1 double mutant of *C.elegans* would be a good strain to test for further liquid assay development.

*Fer-1* mutant *C. elegans* will not lay any fertilized eggs at 20°C, the temperature used for our liquid assay, which helps exclude the death-causing factor of fertilized eggs hatching inside *C.elegans* bodies from experiment results. Therefore, experiments using *fer-1* mutant *C.elegans* should represent the influence of *Saccharomyce cerevisiae* more accurately.

*Mek-1* mutant *C.elegans* is more sensitive to fungal infection due to its mutation in a gene that was previously shown to be important for innate immune responses to bacterial infections (Nicholas and Hodkin, 2004). The effects of fungal infection on *C.elegans* may be more obvious and thus easier to study using this strain of *C.elegans* in liquid assay. The experiment time could also be shortened due to *mek-1* mutant *C.elegans*’ sensitivity.
### Appendix 1: Raw Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
<th>Day 5</th>
<th>Day 6</th>
<th>Day 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.coli only</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast:E.coli 1:30</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast:E.coli 1:60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast:E.coli 1:120</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast:E.coli 1:240</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Various Mass Ratios Without Feeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
<th>Day 5</th>
<th>Day 6</th>
<th>Day 7</th>
<th>Day 8</th>
<th>Day 9</th>
<th>Day 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.coli only</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli only Fed</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast:E.coli 1/30</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast:E.coli 1/30 fed</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast:E.coli 1/60</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast:E.coli 1/60 fed</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Various Mass Ratios With Feeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
<th>Day 5</th>
<th>Day 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y101 + E.coli</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1+ E.coli</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma+ E.coli</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli only</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different Yeast Mutants and Stains
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N2</th>
<th>day1</th>
<th>day2</th>
<th>day3</th>
<th>day4</th>
<th>day5</th>
<th>day6</th>
<th>day7</th>
<th>day8</th>
<th>day9</th>
<th>day10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.coli re-feed</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Y:30E re-feed</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Y:60E re-feed</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mek-1</td>
<td>day1</td>
<td>day2</td>
<td>day3</td>
<td>day4</td>
<td>day5</td>
<td>day6</td>
<td>day7</td>
<td>day8</td>
<td>day9</td>
<td>day10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.coli re-feed</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Y:30E re-feed</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Y:60E re-feed</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mek-1 and N2 With Feeding*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N2</th>
<th>day1</th>
<th>day2</th>
<th>day3</th>
<th>day4</th>
<th>day5</th>
<th>day6</th>
<th>day7</th>
<th>day8</th>
<th>day9</th>
<th>day10</th>
<th>day11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.coli re-feed</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Y:30E re-feed</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Y:60E re-feed</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*fer-1 and N2*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fer-1</th>
<th>day1</th>
<th>day2</th>
<th>day3</th>
<th>day4</th>
<th>day5</th>
<th>day6</th>
<th>day7</th>
<th>day8</th>
<th>day9</th>
<th>day10</th>
<th>day11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.coli re-feed</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Y:30E re-feed</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Y:60E re-feed</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*fer-1 and N2*
Appendix 2: SigmaStat Set-Up

Step 1: Enter Data in Columns
Step 2: Select LogRank Test
Step 3: Select Data Format
Step 4: Select Group, Time, and Status Columns
Step 5: Select Groups for Comparison
Step 6: Select Event (nothing is censored) and Click Finish
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