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Abstract

Sex differences in the brain may influence the incidence rates of autism. Using two different strains of mice, one social (Black 6) and one asocial (BTBR), it is possible to compare the expression of different genes in certain areas of the brain suspected to be effected by autism. Using real-time PCR the target gene Neuroligin 3 (Nlgn3) showed a statistical difference in expression between the two strains. In addition, we are generating a mouse model which has four genotypes (Male (XY-SRY), Male (XX-SRY), Female (XX), Female (XY-)). Using this model, a sexually dimorphic phenotype can be analyzed between different hormonal conditions (testosterone versus estrogens) and between different sex chromosomal complements (XX versus XY).
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Introduction

Autism is the most common form of disability in a group of developmental disorders known as the autism spectrum disorders (ASDs).\(^1\) It is a developmental disability and is believed to be influenced by both environment and genetic makeup. Through various studies using both monozygotic and dizygotic twins, ASDs have been found to be largely genetic. It has been found that 60-92% of monozygotic twins show concordance while only 0-10% of dizygotic twins show concordance.\(^2\) It usually appears in early childhood, affects the ability to communicate, strains social interactions and results in restrictive interests and repetitive behaviors. Other common symptoms are lower sensitivity to pain, higher sensitivity to sound and touch, and an extreme negative reaction to sudden change. Affecting 1 in every 150 American children, and almost 1 in 94 males, it is one of the most prominent disabilities.\(^3\) The disorder usually becomes visible in the first 2-3 years. Common symptoms of autism in infants are a lack of visual attention (non-responsive to something new being added to a room), lack of motor control and very low social response (i.e. showing a response when hearing their name or keeping eye contact). Overall, the symptoms of ASDs stem from abnormalities in brain structure and function.

The brain of an ASD patient has an increased volume during childhood.\(^4\) In the amygdala, there is an increase in neuronal density and a decrease in neuronal size.\(^5\) ASDs are believed to be caused by functional changes in the neural circuitry and an imbalance between excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission.\(^6\) Although the exact mechanisms and location(s) of the roots of autism are unknown, it is believe that a number of brain regions may be involved. Among these are the hippocampus, amygdale and the

cerebellum. Studies using fMRI have shown diminished activation in the amygdala of autistic patients during social tasks. Researchers believe that ASDs are not caused by a single gene mutation but rather a group of genes being mutated is associated with the disorder. A target gene, Neuroligin 3 (Nlgn3), which is found in the amygdala, has been identified as an autism candidate gene whose mutations cause autism in carriers. Nlgn3 encodes a protein, which regulates the formation of GABAergic synapses in the brain. A defect in Nlgn 3 may prevent the formation or recognition of specific synapse needed for the communication process which is impaired in individuals on the spectrum.

Research is slow in the area due to the lack of an adequate animal model. Recently, mice have been identified as a model for research for autism. BTBR mice have been proposed as the best-suited model. In comparison tests through various studies with other strains of mice, BTBR mice show autism-like tendencies such as no preference for another mouse over inanimate object, increased self-grooming (repetitive behavior), and fewer social play behaviors. BTBR mice were the only strain, of ten tested, “that failed to show significant sociability while showing high open field exploration and a lack of anxiety-like behavior…”. These same tests showed many possible models for a “normal” mouse. In this study, we choose to use C57BL/6J (Black 6 or BL6) mice. In comparison with BTBR mice, Black 6 showed higher levels of social interaction, and scored relatively normal scores on various maze and social tests.

As previously mentioned, there is a large statistical difference between the number of males versus females affected with autism. This is due to at least two sources: sex specific hormones and specific genes on the sex chromosomes. In order to separate the effects of sex hormones from sex chromosomes in a mouse model, four genotypes (XY, XY-, XY- SRY, and XX) are produced through breeding. This allows for the affect of the sex chromosomes and sex hormones to be observed independently.

8 Jamain et al., “Mutations of the X-linked genes encoding neuroligins Nlgn 3 and Nlgn4 are associated with autism”. 34 (2003) 27-29
Materials and Methods

Breeding

A major part of this project was the breeding of the various strains and genotypes of mice. A Black 6 XY-SRY mouse was obtained from Dr. Arthur P. Arnold (UCLA) and six female mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine). The mice were housed in one section of a three-section room where only mice used in this project were kept. The light cycle of the room was 12 hours light (7am-7pm) and 12 hours dark (7pm-7am). The food and water were checked and replenished on a daily basis and the cages were cleaned on a set schedule, which did not allow for undesirable living quarters. The temperature and moisture in the room could be controlled and the temperature ranged from 65°C-71°C while the moisture was always within 37%-42%. Each mouse was chipped with a unique microchip ID that can be read with a scanner.

Initially, a XY-SRY BL6 male was mated with a wild-type BTBR female to produce an F1 generation of XY-Sry BTBR mice. The litter was genotype by using a small piece of tail and extracting the DNA via the DNeasy Kit (Qiagen ©). PCR reactions were performed using three primers, Sry, Ssty (specific for the Y chromosome) and Myogenin (control gene).

Next, a XY-SRY F1 male was mated with a wild-type BTBR female to produce an F2 generation. These offspring were then genotyped to select XY-Sry offspring. Currently we have obtained the F4 generation. The process will be repeated to obtain generation F10.

Brain, Blood, Tail and Microchip Extraction

The mice need to be euthanized to obtain the brain, blood sample, piece of tail for DNA analysis, and to extract the microchip. For preparation, to keep the blood from clotting, glass centrifuge tubes were coated with 10 µl Heparin. The mouse was sacrificed using CO₂ in a sealed container. Immediately after, the mouse was decapitated and the body placed in a funnel to aid the blood draining into a glass centrifuge tube. While the blood was draining, the brain was extracted from the skull using surgical tweezers and scissors. Once the brain was extracted, it was placed in Isobutene to freeze the brain then
placed it in a 15 ml conical tube on dry ice. They were stored at -80°C After the maximum amount of blood was gathered in the tube (0.5 – 1.0 ml), cut a small piece of tail (0.25- 0.5 cm) and place it in a 1.5 ml centrifuge tube. Lastly, the micro ID chip was removed by making an incision in the back of the mouse and pulling out the chip with surgical tweezers. The chip was placed in 100% ethanol for sterilization. All tubes were labeled with the genotype, date of birth, and date of euthanization.

**Brain Punching**

During the process of brain punching, the brain must be kept relatively frozen. A cryostat machine was used to hold the brain in a suitable cold environment. Using a mouse brain atlas, specific regions of the brain were identified and a 1-millimeter micro punch was taken and placed in a 1.5 ml centrifuge tube. Punches were taken from the cerebellum, hippocampus, hypothalamus, amygdale, and striatum.

**RNA Extraction**

The RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen ©) was used to carry out the RNA extraction from different brain sections. A buffer composed of 10 µl β-mercaptoethanol for every 1 ml Buffer RTL plus was prepared so there was 400 µl per sample. Each sample (two micro punches) was ground with 400 µl buffer in a 1 ml grinder and transferred to a 1.5 ml centrifuge tube. To confirm the quality and quantity of RNA, spectrometer readings and a gel was obtained. The RNA was then made DNA free and converted into cDNA using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen ©).

**Real Time RT- PCR**

Following the synthesis of cDNA, a Real Time Reverse Transcriptase (RT)-PCR was performed to determine the level of expression of desired genes. A master mix was made for each gene according to the number of templates. Each template required 15 µl of master mix that was composed of 3µl H₂O, 10 µl SYBR Green, and 2 µl primer. After dividing the master mix in the appropriate number of wells, 5 µl of cDNA template was added. To ensure the mix was at the bottom of the well, the 96-well plate was centrifuged for 1 minute at 1010 rpm then placed in the Real Time RT PCT machine.
Results

This project focused on two main objectives. The first was to develop an ideal mouse model and the second was to test target genes using RT-PCR. With the ideal mouse model still in the stages of development at the F4 generation, the results obtained were taken from the initial mice.

The preliminary tests were run with four of each type of mouse (4 BL6 males, 4 BL 6 females, 4 BTBR males, 4 BTBR females). The amount was used in the RNA extraction and gene expression experiments to collect consistent, statistically significant data. Using numerous samples allows for higher accuracy in results. The results in this section were done with cDNA created from RNA extracted from the amygdala. Figure 1 below shows the amplification plot produced from the Neuroligin 3 gene.

![Figure 1: (RT PCR) Amplification plot of Nlgn 3 expression in the amygdala.](image)

The slopes of these curves reflect the amplification efficiency of the reaction. An amplification plot can also be used to identify any irregular amplification. This allows for the sample that shows irregular amplification to be identified and its results discarded.
The second way in which the results of the Real time RT-PCR were examined was by the dissociation curve. Figure 2 shows the dissociation curve of the Neuroligin 3 samples.

![Dissociation Curve](image)

Figure 2: (RT PCR) Dissociation curve of Nlgn 3 in the amygdala.

The single peak in the curve supports that there was not any non-specific binding of the primer. The program used showed the individual curve of each well. The above curves show all 16 samples had the expected binding and none showed non-specific results.

Figure 3 is a graphical representation of the Real Time RT-PCR results for Neuroligin 3.
Each group has four samples and each sample was measured at least twice. There is a strain effect of Nlgn3 that BTBR mice have a higher level, both males and females, than BL6 mice. The p value was 0.5, which makes these finding marginally significant.
Conclusions and Discussion

A mouse model is currently being developed which will allow observations of the effect of sex chromosomes and sex hormones on autism-behaviors in BTBR mice. Once the F10 generation is obtained, a more convincing model for autism will be available to compare with normal mice. Using this model, RT-PCR using many different candidate genes can be performed to narrow the field of genes involved. It is believed that there is a group of genes involved in human ASDs and each one produces a different phenotypic response.

The autism candidate gene Neuroligin 3 shows preliminary difference in expression between BL6 and BTBR mice in the amygdala, which may be related to behavioral differences between the two strains. Further research needs to be conducting using the ideal F10 generation. Through Real Time RT-PCR and observational data, the significance of the effect of Neuroligin 3 in autism can be further determined.

Since it is believed that autism is not caused by a single gene, further research with candidate genes such as Nlgn4 and Nrxn1 should be conducted. Hopefully, using Real Time RT-PCR and mouse models, the etiology of autism can slowly be uncovered.
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## Appendix A: Raw Real Time PCR Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>MEA Nlgn3-1</th>
<th>MEA Nlgn3-2</th>
<th>MEA Nlgn3-3-1</th>
<th>MEA Nlgn3-3-2</th>
<th>MEA Nlgn3 Avg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Male 1</td>
<td>21.482</td>
<td>21.04</td>
<td>20.303</td>
<td>20.891</td>
<td>20.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Male 2</td>
<td>23.406</td>
<td>22.003</td>
<td>21.976</td>
<td>22.06</td>
<td>22.36125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Male 3</td>
<td>25.052</td>
<td>23.278</td>
<td>23.256</td>
<td>23.056</td>
<td>23.6605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Male 1</td>
<td>23.075</td>
<td>22.011</td>
<td>22.232</td>
<td>23.037</td>
<td>22.58875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Male 3</td>
<td>24.392</td>
<td>23.629</td>
<td>23.326</td>
<td>23.492</td>
<td>23.70975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Male 4</td>
<td>21.593</td>
<td>22.106</td>
<td>22.096</td>
<td>22.385</td>
<td>22.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Female 1</td>
<td>24.827</td>
<td>23.043</td>
<td>23.432</td>
<td>23.294</td>
<td>23.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Female 2</td>
<td>23.695</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>23.272</td>
<td>23.375</td>
<td>23.3555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Female 3</td>
<td>25.801</td>
<td>23.964</td>
<td>25.249</td>
<td>24.524</td>
<td>24.8845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Female 4</td>
<td>32.329</td>
<td>30.318</td>
<td>31.557</td>
<td>30.828</td>
<td>31.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Female 2</td>
<td>24.338</td>
<td>22.593</td>
<td>22.69</td>
<td>24.511</td>
<td>23.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Female 3</td>
<td>23.243</td>
<td>21.748</td>
<td>22.187</td>
<td>21.713</td>
<td>22.22275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Female 4</td>
<td>23.584</td>
<td>21.629</td>
<td>22.54</td>
<td>22.712</td>
<td>22.61625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Female 5</td>
<td>23.927</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>23.016</td>
<td>24.673</td>
<td>23.469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>MEA Gapdh1</th>
<th>MEA Gapdh2</th>
<th>MEA Gapdh3</th>
<th>MEA Gapdh Avg.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Male 2</td>
<td>17.143</td>
<td>16.355</td>
<td>16.48</td>
<td>16.659333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Male 3</td>
<td>18.279</td>
<td>17.407</td>
<td>17.494</td>
<td>17.726667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Male 1</td>
<td>17.883</td>
<td>16.896</td>
<td>16.716</td>
<td>17.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Male 2</td>
<td>17.349</td>
<td>16.505</td>
<td>16.468</td>
<td>16.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Male 3</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>18.02</td>
<td>18.033333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Male 4</td>
<td>17.424</td>
<td>16.734</td>
<td>16.692</td>
<td>16.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Female 1</td>
<td>18.074</td>
<td>17.085</td>
<td>17.224</td>
<td>17.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Female 2</td>
<td>18.201</td>
<td>17.299</td>
<td>17.461</td>
<td>17.653667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Female 3</td>
<td>19.294</td>
<td>18.11</td>
<td>18.191</td>
<td>18.531667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 Female 4</td>
<td>25.468</td>
<td>24.323</td>
<td>24.522</td>
<td>24.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Female 2</td>
<td>18.128</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>17.365</td>
<td>17.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Female 3</td>
<td>17.167</td>
<td>16.325</td>
<td>16.363</td>
<td>16.618333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Female 4</td>
<td>17.702</td>
<td>16.873</td>
<td>16.831</td>
<td>17.135333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR Female 5</td>
<td>17.985</td>
<td>33.064</td>
<td>17.145</td>
<td>22.731333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MEA**
- **BL6 Male Average**: Nlgn3 22.29519
- **BTBR Male Average**: Nlgn3 22.59419

**Gapdh**
- **BL6 Male**: 16.77017
- **BTBR Male**: 17.23058
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEA</th>
<th>BL6 Female Average</th>
<th>BTBR Female Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nlgn3</td>
<td>25.78675</td>
<td>22.96025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gapdh</td>
<td>19.60433</td>
<td>18.5065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>